

Chicago, IL 60661-2511

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/664,313	09/17/2003	Richard Caldwell	10069-0001US	4166
7590 11/10/2005			EXAMINER	
WOOD, PHILLIPS, KATZ, CLARK & MORTIMER			STASHICK, ANTHONY D	
Citicorp Center				
Suite 3800			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
500 West Madison Street			3728	

DATE MAILED: 11/10/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

TUEM

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/664,313	CALDWELL, RICHARD				
Notice of Abandonment	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Anthony Stashick	3728				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app						
This application is abandoned in view of:		•				
This application is abandoned in view of						
<ul> <li>I.               Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office      </li> <li>(a)              A reply was received on (with a Certificate of N period for reply (including a total extension of time of the proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed to the proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed to the proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on     </li> </ul>	failing or Transmission dated month(s)) which expired on	·				
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 (	I Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); o					
(c) A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below).						
(d) No reply has been received.						
<ol> <li>Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8</li> </ol>		the statutory period of three months				
(a) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was ), which is after the expiration of the statutory per Allowance (PTOL-85).	s received on (with a Certificate in the issue fee (and the issue fee (and its in the issue fee (and its in the issue fee (and its interest in the issue fee (and its interest in the its interest in the interest in th	ate of Mailing or Transmission dated and publication fee) set in the Notice of				
(b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance	e of \$ is due.					
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$						
(c) $\square$ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no	ot been received.					
<ol> <li>Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requ Allowability (PTO-37).</li> </ol>	uired by, and within the three-month ຕ	period set in, the Notice of				
<ul> <li>(a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received on</li> <li>after the expiration of the period for reply.</li> </ul>	_ (with a Certificate of Mailing or Tran	smission dated), which is				
(b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received.						
I. ☐ The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants.	e attorney or agent of record, the assi	ignee of the entire interest, or all of				
<ol> <li>The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.</li> </ol>	attorney or agent (acting in a repres	entative capacity under 37 CFR				
<ol> <li>The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference of the decision has expired and there are no allowed clair</li> </ol>		e the period for seeking court review				
7. The reason(s) below:						
		athen Stentral				
		Anthony Stashick Primary Examiner				
		Art Unit: 3728				